

The defendant, _____, asserts that he was a victim of entrapment as to the offenses charged in the indictment.

Where a defendant has no previous intent or purpose to violate the law, but is induced or persuaded by law enforcement officers or their agents to commit a crime, the defendant is a victim of entrapment, and the law as a matter of policy forbids the defendant's conviction in such a case.

On the other hand, where a defendant already has the readiness and willingness to break the law, the mere fact that government agents provide what appears to be a favorable opportunity is not entrapment. For example, it is not entrapment for a government agent to pretend to be someone else and to offer, either directly or through an informer or other decoy, to engage in an unlawful transaction.

If, then, you should find beyond a reasonable doubt from the evidence in the case that, before anything at all occurred respecting the alleged offense involved in this case, the defendant, _____, was ready and willing to commit a crime such as charged in the indictment, whenever opportunity was afforded, and that government officers or their agents did no more than offer the opportunity, then you should find that the defendant is not a victim of entrapment.

On the other hand, if the evidence in the case should leave you with a reasonable doubt whether the defendant, _____, had the previous intent or purpose to commit an offense of the character charged, apart from the inducement or persuasion of some officer or agent of the government, then it is your duty to find the defendant, _____, not guilty.